River Heights City

River Heights City PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA

Tuesday, March 28, 2023

Notice is hereby given that the River Heights Planning Commission will hold its regular meeting beginning at **6:30 p.m.**, anchored from the River Heights City Office Building at 520 S 500 E. Attendance can be in person or through Zoom.

6:30 p.m.	Pledge of Allegiance
6:32 p.m.	Adoption of Previous Minutes and Agenda
6:35 p.m.	Public Comment on Land Use
6:40 p.m.	Public Hearing to Discuss and Decide on a Conditional Use Permit from Juan Valdavinos and Melinda Rodriguez
7:15 p.m.	Discuss Updating the Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance
7:20 p.m.	Discuss Sideyard Setbacks (10-12-2:A. Note 2)
7:30 p.m.	Adjourn

Posted this 23rd day of March 2023

Sheila Lind, Recorder

To join the Zoom meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84571279954

Attachments for this meeting and previous meeting minutes can be found on the State's Public Notice Website (pmn.utah.gov)

In compliance with the American Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations (including auxiliary communicative aids and services) during this meeting should notify Sheila Lind, (435) 770-2061 at least 24 hours before the

River Heights City

1						
	River Heights City Planning Commission					
ڏ		M	inutes of the Meeting			
4			March 28, 2023			
5						
6	Present:	Commission members:	Noel Cooley, Chairman			
7			Heather Lehnig			
8			Cindy Schaub			
9		•	Troy Wakefield			
10						
11		Councilmember	Blake Wright			
12		Recorder	Sheila Lind			
13		Tech Staff	Councilmember Chris Milbank			
14						
15	Excused	Commissioner	Lance Pitcher			
16						
17	Others Prese	nt:	Juan Valdavinos, Melinda Rodriguez, Mary Barrus, Chris			
18			Daines, Mayor Jason Thompson, Mitchell Kamstra, Alex			
19			O'Connor, Jacob Cameron, Brian Anderson, Debbie			
20			Hancey, Janet Matthews, Attorney Jon Jenkins, Marcia			
21			Baker			
<u> </u>						
,						
24		Motion:	s Made During the Meeting			
25						
26	Motion #1					
27		-	approve the minutes of the January 14, 2023, Commission			
28	_		evenings agenda." Commissioner Wakefield seconded the			
29	•	h carried with Cooley, Lehni	g, Schaub, and Wakefield in favor. No one opposed. Pitcher			
30	was absent.					
31						
32	Motion #2					
33		_	t "Juan and Melinda have a home business with the			
34	9 1					
35						
36	, , ,					
37						
38	exchange snow removal equipment. 6) No signage on the property. 7) All snowmelt and salts need to					

39

40

41

42

43

be stored off-site and not at their property. 8) At the end of the snow removal season all non-

from the property, the CUP expires. 11) No maintenance of snow removal equipment on the

residential trucks, plows, and equipment will be stored off site. (Doesn't include family vehicles.) 9)

Snow removal trucks used by employees will be kept at the employee's residence. 10) Upon moving

property." Commissioner Wakefield seconded the motion, which carried with Lehnig, Schaub and

Wakefield. Pitcher was absent and Cooley voted "nay" because he didn't agree with some of the allowed items.

Proceedings of the Meeting

The River Heights City Planning Commission met at 6:30 p.m. in the Ervin R. Crosbie Council Chambers on March 28, 2023.

Pledge of Allegiance

Adoption of Prior Minutes and Agenda: Minutes for the March 14, 2023, Planning Commission Meeting were reviewed.

Commissioner Lehnig moved to "approve the minutes of the January 14, 2023, Commission Meeting with corrections, as well as the evenings agenda." Commissioner Wakefield seconded the motion, which carried with Cooley, Lehnig, Schaub, and Wakefield in favor. No one opposed. Pitcher was absent.

Public Comment on Land Use: There was none.

<u>Public Hearing to Discuss and Decide on a Conditional Use Permit from Juan Valdavinos and Melinda Rodriguez:</u> Commissioner Cooley explained the reason for the public hearing and discussed the parameters of the Conditional Use Permit, interpreted by the city, from the amended application of Juan Valdavinos and Melinda Rodriguez. He noted it would have been easier to have seen a new application, rather than the same one, which addressed the lawn care business.

Commissioner Cooley invited the applicant to address the commission. Attorney Chris Daines, of 135 N Main, Ste 200, represented the applicants. He verified that each commission member had received the memo he added to the original application, which was meant to be a comprehensive summary of their request. Mr. Cooley verified that they each received it. Mr. Daines said the city basically interpreted the summary correctly. He reviewed that the applicants have a lot of vehicles for their own personal use. His memo pointed out there are two business functions at the applicant's home: one is administrative and the other is snow removal. They also have a lawn care business, which they were not applying for this at this time. He discussed point 4)f., which stated: "At the end of the snow removal season, all trucks, plows, and other equipment will be stored off-site." That wasn't completely true because the trucks they use as their family vehicles, will stay.

Jacob Cameron, of 336 E 700 S, asked what the commission was going to do about JV parking their vehicles on their right of way. Commissioner Cooley stated they would answer questions later in the meeting.

Brian Anderson, of 331 E 700 S, stated that Juan and Melinda's business has never disrespected anyone's property rights. He felt the parameters of this request were micromanaged. He wasn't sure why a business couldn't have a small and modest sign, or a customer interaction on the property. He said the list seemed to fit the overall attitude of River Heights, that businesses are not welcome in River Heights. He was once again disappointed in the city.

Mitchell Kamstra, of 362 E 600 S, was in full support of Juan and Melinda's business and felt the issues from the first CUP have been resolved.

Commissioner Cooley closed the public hearing. He reviewed code section 3-1-4:A., which listed the neighborhood impacts the commission needed to consider: number of employees, use of accessory buildings, traffic, odor, visual, noise, complaints, safety, use of public facilities, and business location.

 Commissioner Lehnig brought up the request of six vehicles, and wondered if the home vehicles were included in the six. Commissioner Cooley felt it would be the number coming and going. They felt the application amendment was in the gray. Mr. Cooley's understanding of reading of the city code was that residential homes with home businesses should look like a residence at all times. He felt fine about them having an office in their home and having an employee come to the house. He didn't find issue with the family having five vehicles. He wondered if the sons always had plows on their trucks during the plow season, and suggested they differentiate between the family trucks versus business trucks.

Commissioner Wakefield was fine about the amendments. However, he was concerned that some of the neighbors were not happy with their business being run out of their home.

Commissioner Schaub was confused by the application since it discussed the lawn care business, but they seemed to only be discussing snow removal tonight. She would be fine with no more than two business vehicles being in front. Any more than that should be screened behind a fence.

Commissioner Cooley asked Ms. Rodriguez if the plows would stay on her son's trucks all season. She responded that when the season was over all the trucks would stay on their property, but the plows would be stored off-site. She said they planned to have two working trucks stored at their property, since they were somehow allowed this. After the snow season they would remove the plows and hook up trailers to the trucks. Attorney Daines clarified that the CUP application was only for the snow removal business and administrative. It had nothing to do with the lawn care business. Mr. Cooley asked again if the sons will regularly have plows on their trucks. Daines said they may because Mr. Valdavinos and his son sometimes fill in and help. There would be two trucks maximum with plows belonging to the family.

Commissioner Lehnig clarified that no business trucks would be parked in the backyard off season. Commissioner Cooley asked if vehicles from the backyard could be seen from the front. Ms. Rodriguez said they could install a fence and gate. She also noted that other residents park their recreational vehicles in the front yard. Mr. Cooley said theirs was a different situation because they had a business.

Commissioner Cooley asked for confirmation that there was no snow melt on the property. Ms. Rodriquez said there was currently, but by next season they would store it off site. It is currently stored in a dump trailer on their property.

Commissioner Cooley asked if repairs on the equipment would be done at their house. She said it would be done off-site unless an employee needed to pick up some oil. Mr. Cooley asked if they do vehicle maintenance on their property. Ms. Rodriquez said, "No."

Commissioner Cooley asked the applicants to always keep in mind is that the city code only allows home occupations which happens within the home. They need to make sure that the business equipment is stored off site. Ms. Rodriguez assured that next year the guys will all have their plow trucks at their residence and will go off-site to get snow melt.

Commissioner Cooley asked for a motion to approve or not approve the CUP.

Commissioner Schaub moved to approve the Conditional Use Permit dated January 17, 2023 including the amendment submitted by their attorney with: 1) A maximum of two business vehicles with their snow plows, 2) The use will go away if they move, and 3) The use of any chemicals shall not be stored on the property. Not allowing equipment maintenance on the property was discussed.

Councilmember Wright suggested using the parameters list as a guide to conditions. Commissioner Cooley agreed, with a few clarifications. Councilmember Wright asked for Ms. Rodriguez to clarify 4a on their amendments. He asked what their idea of "occasionally" was. Mr. Daines responded that there may be occasions that snow blowers may need to be dropped off. He said they will live with whatever the commission decides. The applicants have considered placing a fence and gate, but perhaps the city would consider it as a trade for being allowed to park more vehicles in their backyard than the conversation was suggesting.

Commissioner Cooley considered "occasionally" to be twice/week. Ms. Rodriguez asked if they would consider twice/day to allow for switching out equipment. Commissioner Wakefield reminded that they were going to store their equipment off their property. Attorney Daines said their largest equipment will be stored off site. The snowblowers would be on the back of the trucks. An employee might drop one off and another pick one up.

Attorney Jenkins reminded the Commission that they should be constructing a CUP that is enforceable but not challenged. He encouraged that Commission to look at the definition of home occupancy when coming up with a decision and to consider how transfers/exchanges fit into the context of home occupancy. It may need to be reevaluated.

Ms. Rodriguez asked the difference between a daycare with drop offs and pickups all day versus stops at their place to exchange equipment. Attorney Jenkins informed that a daycare is listed as an approved home business in the code. Snow removal is not.

Commissioner Schaub reminded of her motion, with the 3 conditions. Commissioner Cooley asked for a second. There was none. The motion died.

Commissioner Lehnig moved to let "Juan and Melinda have a home business with the following parameters: 1) The home business is an administrative use as stated on their application amendment. 2) One administrative employee will come to the home on Monday, Wednesday, and Fridays. 3) Five personal vehicles allowed, two of which can be used for business. 4) This CUP is for a snow removal business only. 5) Three times a week an employee can come to the property to exchange snow removal equipment. 6) No signage on the property. 7) All snowmelt and salts need to be stored off-site and not at their property. 8) At the end of the snow removal season all non-residential trucks, plows, and equipment will be stored off site. (Doesn't include family vehicles.) 9) Snow removal trucks used by employees will be kept at the employee's residence. 10) Upon moving from the property, the CUP expires. 11) No maintenance of snow removal equipment on the property." Commissioner Wakefield seconded the motion, which carried with Lehnig, Schaub and Wakefield. Pitcher was absent and Cooley voted "nay" because he didn't agree with some of the allowed items.

Discuss Updating the Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance: Councilmember Wright informed the city had adopted this section of the code in 2008. At the time River Heights was regulated by a 60.3 (b) ordinance because of the minimal flooding potential in River Heights. Recently FEMA has determined there is more of a flooding potential than before along Spring Creek. Because of this, River Heights needed to change to a 60.3(d) ordinance. They have a template, which he had tweaked to fit River Heights. It's not much different than the verbiage in the 'b' ordinance. Councilmember Gallup has asked Engineer Rasmussen to update the sensitive area maps, which is needed with the code update. He said the city needed to decide who the floodplain administrator would be. He suggested appointing the city engineer if this would be appropriate. Commissioner Cooley agreed they could make this recommendation. Mr. Wright said he read over the FEMA document, but it

needed to be gone over again more closely. The city attorney should also go over it. They agreed to have an update at the next meeting and hold a public hearing on April 25.

<u>Discuss Sideyard Setbacks (10-12-2.Note 2):</u> Commissioner Cooley said he struggled with how to remove 1940. He felt there should be certain conditions. Councilmember Wright suggested stating if the home was legally existing at the time it was built, it would be possible to extend the same setback, but it couldn't be less than 5 feet. Discussion was had on different ways to change it. They agreed on, "Properties with residential homes built prior to 1940, or legal at the time of Zoning Clearance Permit approval in the R-1-8 Zone are exempt from the 10 foot side yard, interior lot setback with the following conditions . . . " This will be considered for the next code changes along with the flood plain changes.

Councilmember Wright said the mayor wanted to further clarify "junk as a nuisance." Commissioner Cooley will get together with him for more information.

The meeting adjourned at 8:13 p.m.

Sheila Hind Sheila Lind, Recorder

191 ______

Noel Cooley, Commission Chair

See 2nd page/memorandum

River Heights City Conditional Use Application

8 ½ x 11 copy of plans

Provide a Fire Protection evaluation from the fire department.

				
For office use				
Date Received: _	1/11/23			
Hearing Date:	214/13			
Amount Paid:	(80			
Approved	Denied			

Hearing Date:	
Amount Paid: 100 - 1	
Approved Denied	
APPLICANT	
Name: Juan G. Valdovinos Melinda Rochquy	
Mailing Address: 658 Summerwild Ave River Heights UT 84321	
Phone: email:	
Please check one of the following: owner buyer renter agent other	
PROJECT INFORMATION	
Name: JV Lawn Care	
Address/Location: 658 SUMMerwild Ave River Heights, UT 84321	
Property Tax ID: $\frac{26-147(0.80)}{120}$ Existing Zone: $\frac{k-1-8}{120}$	
What is the current use of the property? \(\text{VSidential}\)	
w many employees will be working at this location including applicant, immediate family, and non-	r
family members? (5) Family Members / coming and going (5) Weekly Maintene	iÇ.
How many vehicles will be coming and going daily, weekly, or monthly? Thomas daily & Ca	15
1 agree to abide by the River Heights City Parking Ordinance (10-14). Initial	
I agree to abide by the River Heights City Sign Ordinance (10-16). Initial	
Description of Request: We do lawn mowing weeding beds. Trin bushes	
install weed barrier, Haul landscape prodructs. NO weed contro	N
fertilization or sprinkler install. We don't work with	
heavy equipment. Snow removal for the season the	
employee will take the truck to their location. Will have 2	
plow trucks on our property one for work when it snow and I extra one will be parked.	S
and rextra one will be parked.	
SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS .	
Completed and signed application form	

MEMORANDUM

To: River Heights City Planning Commission

From: Chris Daines for Juan Valdovinos and Melinda Rodriguez

Date: March 3, 2023

Re: Amendment to Conditional Use Permit Application filed January 17, 2023

I represent Juan Valdovinos ("Juan") and Melinda Rodriguez ("Melinda") who made a conditional use application to you on January 17, 2023 ("the January Application"), a copy of which accompanies this memorandum, for use of their home at 658 Summerwild Ave. ("the Property"). This memorandum amends the January Application as described below.

BACKGROUND

The primary use of the Property is as a residence for Juan, Melinda, and their three sons (now ages 13, 17, and 21).

The business activities historically conducted on "the Property" (658 Summerwild Ave.) have been under the name of J.V. Lawn Care, LLC ("JV"). These activities involve three aspects.

First, the Property is where most of the Administrative functions of JV take place.

Second, the Property has been used for a portion of JV's seasonal <u>Snow-Removal</u> business, aside from the Administrative aspect of JV's business.

Third, the Property has been used for a potion JV's seasonal <u>Lawn Care</u> business, aside from the Administrative aspect of JV's business.

BASICS OF THE AMENDED APPLICATION:

Juan and Melinda seek a permit for the use of the Property for the Administrative (First) and Snow-Removal (Second) aspects of JV's business. Juan and Melinda are not with this Amended Application seeking a permit for use of the Property for the Lawn-Care (Third) aspect of JV's business. They are not hereby committing to never file an application regarding the Lawn-Care (Third) aspect of JV's business, but if they do so it would be later and not overlapping consideration of this Amended Application.

DETAILS REGARDING THE ADMINISTRATIVE ASPECT OF JV'S BUSINESS:

Following are the amendments to the January Application regarding the Administrative aspect of JV's business. Except as amended below, the answers and information provided in the January Application remain unamended as they relate to the Administrative aspect of JV's business.

Name: J.V. Lawn Care, LLC.

Property Tax ID: <u>03-071-0006</u>.

How many employees will be working at this location, including applicant, immediate family, and non-family members? <u>Please see the description of request below</u>.

How many vehicles will be coming and going daily, weekly, or monthly? <u>Please see the detailed</u> description of request below.

Description of request:

1. Residential Use and Activity:

- 1.1.To understand the Administrative aspect of this amended application, it is important to know the specifics of the residential use of the Property so that residential use is not conflated with the applied-for permit for business use.
- 1.2. There are five residential occupants living on the Property: Juan; Melinda; their 21-year old son, Justin; their 17-year old son, Jordan; and their 13-year old son.
- 1.3. Juan's personal vehicle is a Chevy S-10 pickup ("Juan's Truck"). It is parked at the Property when Juan is at home, and in addition to personal uses, is used by Juan to commute to Juan's full-time employment at 1700 South in Logan.
- 1.4.Melinda's personal vehicle is another Chevy S-10 pickup ("Melinda's Truck"). It is parked at the Property when Melinda is at home.
- 1.5. The family's Toyota 4-Runner ("the SUV") is parked at the Property (usually in the garage) when it is not being driven for personal use.
- 1.6. Justin's personal vehicle is a 2008 GMC pickup ("Justin's Truck"). It is parked at the Property when Justin is at home, and in addition to personal uses, is used by Justin to to commute to Justin's full-time employment at 1700 South in Logan. (Justin has another truck, a GMC, but it is kept at Justin's grandparents' home in Logan.)
- 1.7. Jordan's personal vehicle is a 2005 Chevy Silverado. It is parked at the property when Jordan is at home, and in addition to personal uses, is used by Jordan to commute to Justin's part-time employment at 400 North in Logan (Monday, Wednesday, and Friday from 5:00 to 8:00 pm).
- 2. Administrative Uses: Administrative uses on the Property include record-keeping, payroll, customer interactions (not in person), ordering supplies, billings, and similar office activities including regular mail, email, phone, text and virtual communications. Administrative uses occur only inside the home. There is no business (or other) signage at the Property. JV's

business does not include interactions with JV's customers at the Property; JV's service to and interactions with customers occur at the customers' locations or over the phone or internet or through the mail. JV's interactions with other third parties associated with JV's business such as suppliers, also do not occur at the Property.

The Administrative aspect of JV's business is essentially a home occupation as defined in Section 3-1-3 of the City Code.

- 3. Administrative Employees: Melinda is the primary Administrative employee. Juan also helps out with Administrative activities. Melinda is training Justin to help with Administrative activities. The only non-resident Administrative employee is an administrative assistant to Melinda. She works at the Property (inside the home) on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays. She commutes by vehicle, arriving at the Property at 11:00 am, parking her vehicle at the Property, and leaving at 4:00 pm.
- 4. Administrative Vehicle Traffic: There is no vehicle traffic at the Property associated with the Administrative aspect of JV's business other than the six times weekly Melinda's administrative assistant comes and goes as described above.

DETAILS REGARDING THE SNOW-REMOVAL ASPECT:

Following are the amendments to the January Application regarding the Snow-Removal aspect of JV's business. Except as amended below, the answers and information provided in the January Application remain unamended as they relate to the Snow-Removal aspect of JV's business.

Name: J.V. Lawn Care, LLC.

Property Tax ID: 03-071-0006.

How many employees will be working at this location, including applicant, immediate family, and non-family members? Please see the description of request below.

How many vehicles will be coming and going daily, weekly, or monthly? <u>Please see the detailed</u> <u>description of request below</u>.

Description of request:

- 5. Residential Use and Activity: See 1, including 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7 above.
- 6. The Snow-Removal Aspect: Snow-Removal uses on the Property include occasions when Snow-Removal employees other than residents may drop off or pick up a truck with snow

plow attached. There is no business (or other) signage at the Property. JV's business does not include interactions with JV's customers at the Property; JV's service to and interactions with customers occur at the customers' locations or over the phone or internet or through the mail. JV's interactions with other third parties associated with JV's business such as suppliers, also do not occur at the Property.

During the Snow-Removal season occasionally JV will buy some icemelt which is picked up by a Snow-Removal employee and brought to the Property where it is distributed to other Snow-Removal employees. This happened perhaps once during the 2021-22 season, and a few more times this season because of heavy snow conditions. Next season JV will be buying snowmelt in bulk from a Salt Lake supplier on contract and having it delivered to a different location than the Property, and it will be stored there offsite and accessed offsite by Snow-Removal employees.

Over the winter, each Snow-Removal employee drives and uses for snow removal for customers an assigned truck with snowplow attached and snowblower(s), snow shovels, salters (snow-melt spreaders), ramps, and snow-melt supplies in the back of the assigned truck. The assigned truck when not in use serving customers is kept at the residence of the Snow-Removal employee.

At the end of the snow-removal season, the plows are detached from the trucks and the plows are covered and stored at a lot on 1000 West in Logan which JV rents for the purpose of storage. The other Snow-Removal equipment and supplies (except ramps) are also stored between Snow-Removal seasons in a rented storage unit in Hyrum.

- 7. Snow-Removal Employees: The only Snow-Removal employees who are present on the Property, though they do not work in Snow-Removal while at the Property, are Juan and Justin, and to a lesser extent Jordan. These family-members work at Snow-Removal when they are not working at their full-time employment (Juan and Justin) or not in school or working at part-time regular employment (Jordan). They work at snow-removal when they are available and when the other Snow-Removal employees need extra help, such as during storms, and usually on the weekends or evenings.
- 8. Snow-Removal Vehicle Traffic: There is very little Snow-Removal traffic at the Property because the Snow-Removal employees keep their assigned trucks (there are five) at their residences or on the job away from the Property. Juan will sometimes park a Snow-Removal truck at the Property for the times he helps out, but this use and the coming and going associated with it is really a residential use Juan parking a work vehicle at the home, no different in character than a Snow-Removal employee parking their assigned truck at their home. Justin and Jordan (to a lesser extent) follow the same pattern, but use their own trucks as a last resort to help out with Snow Removal when needed, which is different than Juan because Juan's Truck is not used for Snow Removal.

END OF MEMORANDUM

Parameters of Juan Valdavinos and Melinda Rodriquez's Conditional Use Permit Request Submitted as an Amendment by Attorney Chris Daines

- Administrative use: Includes office work occurring only inside the home, which 1) would not include interactions with customers or suppliers at the property.
- 2) Administrative employee: One non-residential administrative employee will come to the home on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays between 11:00am and 4:00pm. She will park her vehicle on the property. No other administrative vehicles will be at the property.
- Residential Use and Activity: There will be 5 personal vehicles, belonging to the 3) family, living at the property. Two of the five may be x burniers vehicles.
- Snow Removal Business Activity:

 (we more than three times a week)

 a. Occasionally, an employee may drop off or pick up a truck with a snowplow attached:

 come to the property to exchange snow removal equipment 4)
 - b. No signage at the property.
 - No customer or supplier interactions at the property.
 - d. During snow-removal season JV Lawn Care will purchase snowmelt, which will be stored at an off-site location, where it will be accessed by employees.
 - # e. Snow removal trucks used by employees will be kept at the employee's
 - residence.

 f. At the end of the snow removal season, all trucks, plows, and other equipment will be stored off-sight. らそ
 - g. Two to three family members may help with snow-removal, to assist employees-during busy times, usually on weekends or evenings.
 - Let Two to three family members may have plows on their own trucks and park them at the residence, while they are assisting employees during busy times.

- if moved, cut expires. . Upon moving the property, the cup expires.

. No maintenance of snow-removal equitoment on the property

TITLE 7

CHAPTER 8

FLOOD DAMAGE PREVENTION 60.3(d)

ARTICLE I

STATUTORY AUTHORIZATION, FINDINGS OF FACT, PURPOSE AND METHODS

SECTION A. STATUTORY AUTHORIZATION

The Legislature of the State of Utah has in Utah Code Unannotated (Ann.)? 10-3-701 delegated the responsibility to local governmental units to adopt regulations designed to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare of its citizenry. Therefore, the City Council of the City of River Heights, Utah, adopts regulations designed to minimize flood losses and does ordain as follows:

SECTION B. FINDINGS OF FACT

- (1) The flood hazard areas of the City of River Heights are subject to periodic inundation which results in loss of life and property, health and safety hazards, disruption of commerce and governmental services, and extraordinary public expenditures for flood protection and relief, all of which adversely affect the public health, safety and general welfare.
- (2) These flood loses are created by the cumulative effect of obstructions in floodplains which cause an increase in flood heights and velocities, and by the occupancy of flood hazards areas by uses vulnerable to floods and hazardous to other lands because they are inadequately elevated, floodproofed or otherwise protected from flood damage.

SECTION C. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

It is the purpose of this ordinance to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare and to minimize public and private losses due to flood conditions in specific areas by provisions designed to:

- 1. Protect human life and health;
- 2. Minimize expenditure of public money for costly flood control projects;
- 3. Minimize the need for rescue and relief efforts associated with flooding and generally undertaken at the expense of the general public;
- 4. Minimize prolonged business interruptions;
- 5. Minimize damage to public facilities and utilities such as water and gas mains, electric, telephone and sewer lines, streets and bridges located in floodplains;
- 6. Help maintain a stable tax base by providing for the sound use and development of flood prone areas in such a manner as to minimize future flood blight areas; and

VARIANCE - is a grant of relief to a person from the requirement of this ordinance when specific enforcement would result in unnecessary hardship. A variance, therefore, permits construction or development in a manner otherwise prohibited by this ordinance. (For full requirements see Section 60.6 of the National Flood Insurance Program regulations.)

VIOLATION - means the failure of a structure or other development to be fully compliant with the community's floodplain management regulations. A structure or other development without the elevation certificate, other certifications, or other evidence of compliance required in Section 60.3(b)(5), (c)(4), (c)(10), (d)(3), (e)(2), (e)(4), or (e)(5) is presumed to be in violation until such time as that documentation is provided.

WATER SURFACE ELEVATION - means the height, in relation to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum (NGVD) of 1929 (or other datum, where specified), of floods of various magnitudes and frequencies in the floodplains of coastal or riverine areas.

ARTICLE III

GENERAL PROVISIONS

SECTION A. LANDS TO WHICH THIS ORDINANCE APPLIES

The ordinance shall apply to all areas of special flood hazard within the jurisdiction of the City of River Heights, Utah.

SECTION B. BASIS FOR ESTABLISHING THE AREAS OF SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARD

The areas of special flood hazard identified by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in a scientific and engineering report entitled, "The Flood Insurance Study for the City of River Heights," dated May 9, 2023, (date maps will become effective) with accompanying Flood Insurance Rate Maps and Flood Boundary-Floodway Maps (FIRM and FBFM) and any revisions thereto are hereby adopted by reference and declared to be a part of this ordinance.

SECTION C. ESTABLISHMENT OF DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

A Development Permit shall be required to ensure conformance with the provisions of this ordinance.

SECTION D. COMPLIANCE

No structure or land shall hereafter be located, altered, or have its use changed without full compliance with the terms of this ordinance and other applicable regulations.

SECTION E. ABROGATION AND GREATER RESTRICTIONS

This ordinance is not intended to repeal, abrogate, or impair any existing easements, covenants, or deed restrictions. However, where this ordinance and another ordinance, easement, covenant, or deed restriction conflict or overlap, whichever imposes the more stringent restrictions shall prevail.

SECTION F. INTERPRETATION

In the interpretation and application of this ordinance, all provisions shall be:

- 1. Considered as minimum requirements;
- 2. Liberally construed in favor of the governing body; and
- 3. Deemed neither to limit nor repeal any other powers granted under State statutes.

SECTION G. WARNING AND DISCLAIMER OR LIABILITY

The degree of flood protection required by this ordinance is considered reasonable for regulatory purposes and is based on scientific and engineering considerations. On rare occasions greater floods can and will occur and flood heights may be increased by man-made or natural causes. This ordinance does not imply that land outside the areas of special flood hazards or uses permitted within such areas will be free from flooding or flood damages. This ordinance shall not create liability on the part of the community or any official or employee thereof for any flood damages that result from reliance on this ordinance, or any administrative decision lawfully made thereunder.

SECTION H. SEVERABILITY

If any section, provision, or portion of this ordinance is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court, the remainder of the ordinance shall not be affected.

ARTICLE IV

ADMINISTRATION

SECTION A. DESIGNATION OF THE FLOODPLAIN ADMINISTRATOR

The (professional title and/or name) is hereby appointed the Floodplain Administrator to administer and implement the provisions of this ordinance and other appropriate sections of 44 CFR (National Flood Insurance Program Regulations) pertaining to floodplain management.

SECTION B. DUTIES & RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE FLOODPLAIN ADMINISTRATOR

Duties and responsibilities of the Floodplain Administrator shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

- Maintain and hold open for public inspection all records pertaining to the provisions of this
 ordinance.
- 2. Review permit application to determine whether proposed building site, including the placement of manufactured homes, will be reasonably safe from flooding.
- 3. Review, approve or deny all applications for development permits required by adoption of this ordinance.
- 4. Review permits for proposed development to assure that all necessary permits have been obtained from those Federal, State, or local governmental agencies (including Section 404 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, 33 U.S.C. 1334) from which prior approval is required.

